

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Form PTO-1449 (Modified) LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary) | Atty Docket No. OKUDP0122US | Express Mail No. EV710252983US |
| | Applicant: Masanori ITOH et al. | |
| | Filing Date August 1, 2005 | Group Unknown |

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| Examiner Initial | Document Number | Date MM/YYYY | Name | Class | Sub-class | Filing Date if Appropriate |
|------------------|--|--------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|
| | 6,078,727 | 06/2000 | Saeki et al. | | | |
| | 6,134,383 (corresponds to JP 10-31876 cited in line 14, page 5 of the description) | 10/2000 | Kikuchi et al. | | | |
| | 6,272,286 B1 (corresponds to JP 2001-197417 cited in line 8, page 3 of the description and JP 2001-197442) | 08/2001 | Asada et al. | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| Examiner Initial | Document Number | Date MM/YYYY | Country | Class | Sub-class | Translation |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | | | | Yes No |
| | 10-031876 | 02/1998 | JP | | | Abstract |
| | 2001-197417 | 07/2001 | JP | | | Abstract |
| | 2001-197442 | 07/2001 | JP | | | Abstract |
| | 2002-157834 | 05/2002 | JP | | | Abstract |

OTHER ART

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Examiner Initial | Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc. |
| | International Search Report for corresponding PCT/JP2004/001010, mailed May 18, 2004. |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| EXAMINER /Chikaodili Anyikire/ | DATE CONSIDERED 03/19/2010 |
|--|--------------------------------------|

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.